

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/356,926

Applicant(s)
Van Ooij et al.

Examiner
LA VILLA

Art Unit
1775



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LA VILLA

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Oberhaus, Attny

(4) _____

Date of Interview Dec 27, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and dependent claims

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner called applicant to indicate that the amendment to Claim 1, filed on 9/26/2002, introduced an indefiniteness problem in the dependent claims due to identical R group designations for the bis-silyl aminosilane structure and vinylsilane structure. Applicant filed an amendment to eliminate this problem. During the interview Examiner indicated that claims would likely be allowable with these corrections, but further examination of the record indicates that rejection over Brown as set forth in the Office Action is appropriate at this time.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Wahl 12/27/02

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

Application No.
09/356,926

Applicant(s)
Van Ooij et al.

Examiner
LA VILLA

Art Unit
1775



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LA VILLA

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Overhouse, Attny

(4) _____

Date of Interview Aug 26, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative)

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8

Identification of prior art discussed:

NONE

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

No agreement as to patentability of claims was reached. Applicant called to explain that applicant has evidence of unexpected results with respect to bis-silyl diaminosilane compounds. Applicant explained that applicant will file an expedited response with this data and will file an explanation pertaining to why the claimed invention is commensurate with the showing of unexpected results, thereby obviating the obviousness rejections.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

La Villa 8/26/02

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required